```
From:
                               Roger Crowe
[mailto:rogercrowe@sympatico.ca]
> Sent: 7-Feb-03 9:57 AM
       'Ohashi, David'
> To:
> Cc:
       aelgar@town.oakville.on.ca; kflynn@town.oakville.on.ca;
'Savoline,
> Joyce'
               030207 ---- Mid-Halton Master Plan comments
> Subject:
> David Ohashi, P.Eng. Manager Special Projects
> Halton Region
> Planning & Public Works Department
> Engineering Services
> 1151 Bronte Road, Oakville, ON L6M 3L1
> Ohashid@region.halton.on.ca
> Dear Mr. David Ohashi.
> I was at the meeting held on 5th February 2003 and unfortunately the
> that I find out about the process the more disappointed I get, the
more
> disenfranchised I feel.
> Regional Staff made comments that submissions could be received after
> February 2003; however only under questioning by Councillor Flynn was
> admitted that "of course there would not be sufficient time to review
such
> submissions".
> The region purports to be concerned about the environment and
committed to
> safequard the area in which we all live. However, all I heard from
> council members that they consider that it is up to the objectors to
> that the environment is being adversely impacted; and not the region
> prove that the environment is not being degraded by this massive
increase
> in sewerage treatment capacity and further expansion in the area.
> algae question, like second-hand smoke, will be more than likely
proven in
> due course, however until that time the region, like the ostrich,
just
> wants to bury its head in the sand.
> The facts as presented by the data presently released by regional
staff
> for the Mid-Halton Plant is :-
> present usage is 21,498 m3/day
```

> present plant capacity 50,000 m3/day --- i.e. an increase of 233% above > present day usage > proposed plant expansion increased capacity 75,000 m3/day --- i.e. > increase 350% more than present day usage > Ultimate plant expansion increased capacity 166,000 m3/day ---- i.e. > increase 772% more than present day usage > These are the facts as provided by the region, however not actually > advertised by the region. > Just like the slide presentation at the meeting on 5th February 2003 > present a slide of the plant as currently, present a slide of the > expansion up to 75,000 m3/day. But not a slide of the ultimate > m3/day as that would not serve the region purpose, nor to portray the > intended impression. > Regional staff have stated that expansion of the Mid-Halton plant is > most cost effective way, when to stay expansion and future growth is > most cost effective way for the present tax-base based upon the current > development levies being charged. > However the most damaging situation that I heard was that regional > are more worried about sending an adverse message about expansion in > region to developers than to save guard the present residents welfare. > Welfare including, but not limited to environmental matters, adverse > health matters due to potation, financial matters as continued growth > appears not to be fully financing itself. > Regional Staff did state that they do not grant future development permits > until such time as the infrastructure is approved. I am not quite > how that works as the expansion up to 75,000 m3/day is apparently required > for already issued permits (but I am sure that is an other story). > However, it is evident that the region wants the Sewerage Master Plan > approved (for expansions up to 166,000 m3/day) so that they can continue > to approve growth based upon the fact that the region have an approved > plan in place. Continue the merry-go-round, continue on and on until > the region is paved from one end to the other. As stated by regional > staff to delay the process to give the residents more time to provide > comments (adverse comments I am sure) will cause delay in granting permits

- > for future growth. In my opinion, what is needed is a moratorium on all
- > future expansion growth until all aspects associated with such continued
- > growth are fully understood. $\ \ \mbox{I}$ do not work for the developers and \mbox{I}
- > most surly do not consider that I should in any way pay any monies
- > whatsoever for them to undertake their developments. If such increased
- > development levies in order to cover 100% (or better) of such growth makes
- $>\mbox{growth too}$ expensive to them, so be it. I have all levels of government
- > in all my pockets for funding, that such not also include growth by > others.
- > I request responses from the region regarding the following:-
- > (1) Provide a complete copy of the Odour study already prepared by the
- > regions consultant's --- a complete copy, not just selected pages.
- > (2) A complete copy of the proposed Sewerage Master Plan --- this was
- > previously carried on the regional website but appears to have been > removed.
- > (3) Layouts that show the Mid-Halton site layout for the 166,000 m3/day
- > capacity, based on present understandings.
- > (4) Provide a complete copy of the Odour study parameters to be covered
- > as part of the next Odour study.
- > (5) Provide a complete schedule timetable applicable to the next Odour
- > study and when such study is intended to be started. (This should be
- > started now and cover summer months).
- > (6) Provide the regional staff's detailed written procedure for when > residents register complaints, including odour complaints.
- > (7) Conclusive backup data that supports the region's position that their
- > proposed expansions (plural) will not adversely affect the environment
- > including Lake Ontario (algae growth --- phosphorous implications).
- > (8) That continued expansion in the region will the 100% (or better)
- > totally covered by development levies. Including costs associated with
- > any upgrades in technology as required due the increase in scale by
 > continued such increased growth.
- > (9) That the present increases in expansion up to 75,000 m3/day as > required by presently "sold-forward development" will be totally
- > by development levies associated by such requirements.
- > (10) What is the region's intended corrective action, if any, to provide
- > "barrier protection" to the golf courses abutting the Mid-Halton Sewerage
- > Plant.

```
> (11) What is the region's intended action, if any, to increase the
> "barrier protection" to the local residents.
> (12) What proposals the region intends for review applicable to
placing a
> moratorium on all future expansion growth until all aspects
associated
> with such continued growth are fully understood.
> (13) A copy of all other submissions made to the region and the reply
> responses issued.
> I have also copied this email to Allan Elgar (Local and Regional
> Councillor Ward 4 Oakville) and others.
> In closing I would add that a visit to the region's website home page
> includes an opening address by a statement from the Regional
Chairperson.
> This statement ends with "And of course, we are accountable to you,
the
                           I feel certain that when all the facts are
> Halton community!".
> by the people, including that we are paying in part for future growth
> that such growth is already causing environmental problems with the
> corresponding lowering of our surrounding environment.
> they will not be pleased, I for one am defiantly not pleased.
> I look forward to receiving a response in due course. A reply by
return
> email as to when I could expect a reply would be most appreciated.
> I consider it very important that I learn how I can obtain from the
> a copy of all other submissions made to them and the reply responses
           I presume that this is to be an open process.
> issued.
> Regards
            Roger
> Roger Crowe
> 2155 Lumberman Lane
> Oakville
> Ontario
> L6M 2Y9
```

> tel:- (905) 847-2417